

Independent External Monitoring Agriculture Report, Year 2023

Fair Labor Association conducts an Independent External Monitoring (IEM) assessment when a company has begun implementation of its internal monitoring and remediation program. An IEM allows the assessment of labor conditions at the farm level and first-level processing if they overlap with the farms based on the FLA Agriculture Workplace Code of Conduct and Monitoring Benchmarks for the Agriculture Sector. FLA gathers further data through community stakeholder interviews with civil society organizations, government officials, community leaders, and supply chain actors. FLA examines internal monitoring systems at the country level against FLA's Principles of Fair Labor and Responsible Sourcing for Agricultural Supply Chains.

Company ofi

Country Nigeria

Crop | Cocoa

Production process | Harvesting

Assessment location | Nigeria: Cross River, Osun state, and Ogun state

Monitor Ethical Trade Services Africa Ltd. (E.T.S.A)

Assessment dates | 10/11/2023 - 24/11/2023

Number of assessed farms | 121

Total area covered | 443.25 ha

Number of farmers interviewed | 121

Total number of workers | 1073

Number of workers interviewed

1

Employment Relationship

Section	Benchmark	Compliance status	Farms
Human Resource	ER.1.1	In Compliance	
Management System	ER.2.1 (PR)	In compliance	
	ER.2.1.1 (PR)	In compliance	
Recruitment and Hiring	ER.3.1	Noncompliance	in all farms
	ER.3.1.1	Noncompliance	In all farms
	ER.3.1.2	Noncompliance	In all farms
	ER.4	Not Applicable	
	ER.5.1	Not Applicable	
	ER.5.2	Not Applicable	
	ER.5.3	In Compliance	
	ER.6 (PR)	Not initiated	
	ER.7.1	In Compliance	
	ER.7.2	Not Applicable	
	ER.7.3	In Compliance	
	ER.7.4	Not Applicable	
	ER.7.5	In Compliance	
	ER.7.6	In Compliance	
	ER.7.7	In Compliance	
	ER.7.8	In Compliance	
Terms and Conditions	ER.9.1	In Compliance	
	ER.9.2.1	In Compliance	
	ER.9.2.2	In Compliance	
	ER.9.2.3	Risk of Noncompliance	In five farms
	ER.9.3.1	In Compliance	
	ER.9.3.2	In Compliance	
	ER.9.3.3	In Compliance	
	ER.10	Not Applicable	
	ER.11	Risk of Noncompliance	In nine farms
	ER.12.1	Risk of Noncompliance	In eight Farms
	ER.12.1.1	Risk of Noncompliance	In eight farms
	ER.12.2	Not Applicable	In the form
	ER.13.1 ER.13.2 (PR)	Noncompliance	In five farms
	ER.13.2 (PR)	In progress	
Administration	ER.15.3 (PR)	In progress Not Applicable	
Administration	ER.15.1	Not Applicable Not Applicable	
	ER.15.2.1	Not Applicable Not Applicable	
	ER.16.1	In Compliance	
	ER.16.2	In Compliance	
	ER.17.2 (PR)	Not Applicable	
	ER.17.3 (PR)		
		Not Applicable	
	ER.17.4 (PR)	Not Applicable	
Worker Involvement	ER.18.1	In Compliance	
vvolker mvolvement	ER.18.2 (PR)	In compliance	
Right to Organize and Bargain	ER.19	In Compliance	
	ER.20.1	Not Applicable	
	ER.20.2	Not Applicable	
	ER.20.3 (PR)	Not initiated	
	ER.20.4	Not Applicable	
Work Rules and Discipline	ER.20.6	Not Applicable	
·	ER.20.7	Not Applicable Not Applicable	
	ER.20.7 ER.20.8	Not Applicable Not Applicable	
	ER.20.6 (PR)	Not initiated	
	ER.20.7 (PK)	Not Applicable	
	LR.20.11	I NOT Applicable	

Access to Training for Family Members	ER.21	Noncompliance	In two farms
	ER.24.1.	Noncompliance	In 12 farms
	ER.24.2 (PR)	Not initiated	
	ER.24.3	Risk of Noncompliance	In 46 farms
	ER.24.4.1 (PR)	Not initiated	
LISE Management System	ER.24.4.2 (PR)	Not initiated	
HSE Management System	ER.24.4.3 (PR)	Not initiated	
	ER.24.4.4 (PR)	Not initiated	
	ER.24.4.5 (PR)	Not initiated	
	ER.24.4.6 (PR)	Not initiated	
	ER.24.5 (PR)	Not initiated	
	ER.25.1 (PR)	In progress	
Grievance Procedures	ER.25.2 (PR)	In progress	
	ER.25.3	In Compliance	
	ER.25.4	In Compliance	

Employment Relationship Assessment Summary

Notable Good Practices (if any)

Recruitment and Hiring

Recruitment and Hiring			
Benchmarks		Compliance Status	
employment and work towards coll- birth of all workers, including long-t ER.3.1.1: Employers shall take reasc ER.3.1.2: In cases where proof of ag necessary precautions to ensure that	of age documentation for all young workers on the farm at the time of their ecting and maintaining all documentation necessary to confirm and verify the date of erm and casual workers. In the measures to ensure such documentation is complete and accurate. It documentation is not readily available or unreliable, employers shall take all at all workers are at least the minimum legal working age, including requesting and ords of workers or through other means considered reliable in the local context.	Noncompliance in all farms	
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	None of the farmers reported verifying workers' age documentation prior to the engagement. The recruitment process is informal. The farmers mostly use a 'jangle' system, where farmers' groups jointly provide labor to their members and sometimes engage seasonal workers.		
	None of the farmers kept workers' records on age verification, even those who reported engaging permanent workers.		
	None of the farmers reported maintaining medical or religious documents for age verification.		
	Source: Farmer and worker interview		
	Company Action Plan		
Activity	All farmers receive yearly training on GAP, GEP, and GSP topics (with emphasis on equal pay and fair wages, no discrimination, no child labor, and no forced labor). Farmers in our database or supply chain employ temporary workers who are mostly colleagues within the same farming community. Ofi only conducts continuous training, ensuring that underage workers are not engaged.		
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and Summaries + pictures of training carried out to farmers		

Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct '23 - May '24
Input (budget/resources)	NA
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers

Terms and Conditions			
Benchmarks		Compliance Status	
ER.9.2: Employment terms shall be below: ER.9.2.3: the FLA Workplace Code.	Risk of Noncompliance in 5 farms		
	all legally mandated requirements for the protection or management of special grant, juvenile, contract/contingent/temporary, casual, daily, home workers, implemented.	In 9 farms	
regarding workers' rights with resp required information, and the FLA of the workplace's common areas or in structures, these communication ar	nform workers about workplace rules, health and safety information, and laws ect to freedom of association, compensation, working hours, and any other legally Code through appropriate means, including posted in local language(s) throughout in the surrounding community. In the case of workplaces with informal labor awareness raising activities could be done with support from supply chain s, organizers, tier one suppliers or the participating company.	In 8 farms	
ER.12.1.1: Employers shall inform v disciplinary measures.	workers that any form of harassment or abuse in the workplace shall be subject to	In 8 farms	
	ny kind of supervisor who is leading workers shall know the local labor laws and the	Noncompliance in 5 farms	
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	Five farmers (4%) were unaware of the requirements of the comp conduct.	oany's code of	
	Nine farms were not aware of the minimum age for employment, juvenile workers.	posing a risk to	
	One hundred fourteen farmers received labor standards training, with the latest training conducted in November 2023. However, five farms reported not having participated in any training, and some are unaware of the company's code of conduct and labor standards. So, they are not aware of the harassment or abuse requirement. Source: Farmer interview		
	Company Action Plan		
Activity	All farmers have signed an agreement with details in their predominant languages during training, and this spreads across to all other subcontractors (Brokers and LBAs). Likewise, ofi Agri- supplier code highlights all codes of conduct required for compliance by all farmers, brokers, and LBAs. LBAs and Brokers sign individually while the Management Committee (a 5-member committee) signs on behalf of the farmers, and details of the code are cascaded to the farmers in each community. The ofi team will train all farmers further, emphasizing every detail of the code.		
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and Summaries + pictures of training carried or signed Agri supplier code by management committee members	ut to farmers+	
Timeline and Deadline Date	Apr 23 - Aug 24		
Input (budget/resources)	NA		

Responsible staff	Field Coordinators and Trainers
(title/department)	

Access to Training for Family Members			
Benchmarks		Compliance Status	
	and adult children) involved directly or indirectly in agriculture production shall areness-raising activities conducted for the workers and growers on the farms.	Noncompliance in two farms	
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	Two farmers had not been invited to training sessions, and none of the farmers reported that their family members got involved in them. Source: Farmer Interview		
	Company Action Plan		
Activity	Only farmers in our databases are trained. During training sessions, farmer's family members are allowed to participate. Training is cascaded to farmers across their cluster (between 15 and 25 farmers) within communities. ofi will encourage farmers to attend training with family members to further drive all relevant information as a means of raising awareness. Training attendance will be designed in a way to capture farmers' families attending training.		
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training Attendances of training to capture other attendees.		
Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct '23 - May '24		
Input (budget/resources)	NA		
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers		

HSE Management System			
Benchmarks		Compliance Status	
ER.24.1: Health, safety, and environmental rules shall be communicated to all workers in the local language or the language spoken by workers if it is different from the local language.		Noncompliance in 12 farms	
ER.24.3: Employers shall designate farmer's direct responsibility.	a responsible person for HSE issues on the farm. For small farms, this could be the	Risk of Noncompliance in 46 Farms	
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	Farmers are provided with health and safety training. However, farmers reported not having been trained, while the interviewed worker reported receiving such training.		
	Forty-six (38%) farms were not aware of their first aid manager and could not easily access first aid services.		
	Source: Farmer Interview		
Company Action Plan			
Activity	OHS training is cascaded for all farmers yearly. Each cluster has a first aid box with enclosure supplies, and the lead farmer is the first aid manager at the cluster level. The first aid representatives of each farmer group monitor the first aid box, train the lead farmers, and ensure/maintain a first aid monitoring log monthly.		

Output indicators (targeted results)	Template of the first aid monitoring log + training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried out to farmers and the presence of equipped first aid box placed in front of the lead farmer's house
Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct '23 - May '24
Input (budget/resources)	NA
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers

Nondiscrimination

Compliance Status

Section	Benchmark	Compliance status	Farms
General Compliance	ND. 1	Noncompliance	In nine farms
Recruitment and	ND.2.1	In Compliance	
Employment Practices	ND.2.3	Risk of Noncompliance	In all farms
Compensation Discrimination	ND. 3	In Compliance	
Discrimination in Training and Communication	ND. 4	In Compliance	
	ND.5.1	Risk of Noncompliance	In all farms
Manital an Duarnaman	ND.5.2	In Compliance	
Marital or Pregnancy- Related Discrimination	ND.5.3	In Compliance	
Related Discrimination	ND.6.1	Not Applicable	
	ND.6.1.1	Not Applicable	
Haalth Dalatad	ND. 7	In Compliance	
Health-Related Discrimination	ND.8	Not Applicable	
	ND. 9	Not Applicable	
Respect for Culture and Religion	ND.11	In Compliance	

Nondiscrimination Assessment Summary

General Compliance			
Benchmarks		Compliance Status	
ND.1: Employers shall comply with	all national laws, regulations and procedures concerning nondiscrimination.	Noncompliance in 9 farms	
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation Nine farms were not aware of the requirements of the Company's code of conduct. Further, they had not been trained on labor standards, so they were no aware of the nondiscrimination requirement. Source: Farmer Interview			
Company Action Plan			
Activity	Training is cascaded yearly on the Ofi Agri Supplier Code, which identifies the company's code of conduct in detail. Farmers also signed an agreement identifying the code of conduct for farmers, labor standards, discrimination, etc. ofi will also ensure continuous refresher training on the ofi Agri supplier code across all clusters to capture farmers who are not fully aware of the details.		

Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance of training + picture proofs.
Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct 23 - Sept 25
Input (budget/resources)	NA
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers

Recruitment and Employment Practices				
Benchmarks: ND.2.3: Employers must protect workers who allege any type of discrimination in recruitment and employment Risk of				
	ND.2.3: Employers must protect workers who allege any type of discrimination in recruitment and employment practices unless otherwise required by law.			
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	The engagement of farm workers is mainly informal based on prior agreements with the employer. In this context, no formal protection system is available to guarantee non-retaliation			
	Source: Farmer interview			
	Company Action Plan			
Activity	The ofi Agri-supplier code covers the no-discrimination clause and a grievance mechanism is in place and clearly communicated to the farmers.			
	A grievance committee exists to address all types of issues using the remediation process identified in the IMS manual.			
	Complaints are submitted using the complaint forms with lead farmers, the Complaints box, anonymous text messages or calls through email, and conta posted in strategic locations in the community. Farmers and their workers were trained on grievance redress mechanisms in various clusters.			
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried ou	it to farmers		
Timeline and Deadline Date	Apr 23 - Aug 24			
Input (budget/resources)	NA			
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers			

Marital or Pregnancy-Related Discrimination			
Benchmarks		Compliance Status	
ND.5.1: Employers shall not require pregnancy testing of female workers, except as required by legal health and safety provisions. In cases that it is required by law, employers shall not use (the results of) such tests as a condition of hiring or continued employment.		Risk of Noncompliance in all farms	
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	There is no documented policy for hiring and employing pregnant women at the farms.	or nursing	

	Source: Farmer Interview
	Company Action Plan
Activity	Farmers manage their farms and labor; ofi, however, communicates the no child labor, no forced labor, no discrimination, fair wages, and freedom of association training to these farmers. Through training, farmers are well informed not to engage pregnant/nursing women as workers.
	A requirement in the RA standard prohibits farmers from engaging pregnant and nursing women in field activities because of the arduous nature of the work and the danger it may cause to their health.
	Farmers predominantly engage farmers in the same communities for activities on farms on a short-time basis.
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and Summaries + pictures of training carried out to farmers
Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct '23 - May '25
Input (budget/resources)	NA
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers

Harassment or Abuse

Section	Benchmark	Compliance status	Farms
General Compliance	H/A.1.1	Risk of Noncompliance	In five farms
	H/A.1.2	In Compliance	
	H/A.2	In Compliance	
	H/A.3	In Compliance	
D:	H/A.4	In Compliance	
Discipline	H/A.5	In Compliance	
	H/A.6	In Compliance	
	H/A.7	In Compliance	
	H/A.13	Not Applicable	
	H/A.8.1	In Compliance	
Violence	H/A.8.2	In Compliance	
	H/A.8.3	In Compliance	
	H/A.9.1	In Compliance	
Sexual Harassment	H/A.9.2	In Compliance	
Sexual Harassment	H/A.9.3	In Compliance	
	H/A.9.4	In Compliance	
	H/A.10	In progress	
Security Practices	H/A.10.1	Not Applicable	
	H/A.10.2	Not Applicable	

General Compliance			
Benchmarks Compliance			
H/A.1.1: Employers shall comply with all national laws, regulations and procedures concerning discipline, violence, harassment or abuse. H/A.1.2: Workers at the farm shall not be subject to any corporal punishment, sexual harassment, oppression, coercion, or any other kind of mental or physical abuse or intimidation, regardless of whether they are family members without a formal contract or hired staff.			
Findings/Noncompliance	Five farms were not informed of the company's code of cond	luct.	
Explanation	Source: Farmer Interview		
	Company Action Plan		
Activity	This could be because farmers were absent during the training. Farmers were trained on the Ofi Agri supplier code, which contains all codes of conduct, including nondiscrimination, harassment, and/or abuse.		
Continuous refresher training will be done at least once yearly.			
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried out to farmers+ copies of flyers distributed		
Timeline and Deadline Date	Apr 23 - Aug 24		
Input (budget/resources)	NA		
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers		

Apr 23 - Aug 26

Child Labor

Possible timeline:

Compliance Status

Section	Benchmark	Compliance status	Farms
General Compliance	CL.1	Risk of Noncompliance	In twenty-one farms
Minimum Age	CL.2	Risk of Noncompliance	In three farms
Light Work	CL.3	Noncompliance	In three farms
Right to Education	CL.4.1 (PR)	In compliance	
	CL.5	Risk of Noncompliance	In twenty-one farms
Vouna Workers	CL.6.1	Noncompliance	In all farms
Young Workers	CL.6.2	Noncompliance	In all farms
	CL.7	Risk of Noncompliance	In four farms
Apprenticeships and	CL.8.1 (PR)	Not Applicable	
Vocational Training	CL.8.2 (PR)	Not Applicable	
Children on Premises	CL.9	In Compliance	
Removal and Rehabilitation	CL.10.1	In Compliance	
of Child Laborers	CL.10.2 (PR)	In compliance	

Child Labor Assessment Summary

General Compliance			
Benchmarks		Compliance Status	
Cl.1: Employers shall comply with all national laws, ratified international conventions, fundamental labor rights, regulations and procedures concerning the prohibition of child labor. Risk of Noncomplia 21 Farm			
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	Even if the assessors did not record any evidence of a violation of the child labor laws, regulations, and procedures at the assessed farms, 21 farms were unaware of what constitutes child labor. At the same time, some were not aware of (listed ages below) the minimum age for work, the minimum age for employment, and the minimum age for education.		
	Source: Farmer Interview		
	Company Action Plan		
Activity	It is a standing order to train, monitor and remediate child labour with ofi supply chain; we have a robust system in place that ensures this is carried out. Our ofi trainers continuously train farmers on child labor and carry out household profiling and unannounced farm visits to assess child labor status. Where issues are found, they are remediated and follow-up actions are carried out. Trainers continuously train farmers on child labor, carry out household profiling and		
	unannounced farm visits to assess child labor status.		
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried or evidence (pictures) of verification and remediated cases	ut to farmers+	
Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct '23 - May '25		
Input (budget/resources)	NA		
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers		

Minimum Age			
Benchmarks	Compliance Status		
CL.2: Employers shall comply with IL age for completion of compulsory edue to an insufficiently developed edlegislation but must work to raise the	Risk of Noncompliance in 3 farms		
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	No children were observed at the farm during the assessment. However, three farms reported that they need children to help work on the farm or to earn income by taking time off from school or not attending school. Source: Farmer Interview		
	Company Action Plan		
Activity	Where this indicator is triggered, of carries out sensitization of the farming household and provides support such as school kits and labor-saving equipment. Of goes as far as visiting the schools to ensure the farmer's children are attending classes.		
	Trainers have trained farmers on child labor-related topics and also regular sensitization where necessary.	carry out	
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried out to farmers+ evidence (pictures) of verification and remediated cases		
Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct '23 - May '24		

Input (budget/resources)	NA
Responsible staff	Field Coordinators and Trainers
(title/department)	

	rs	Compliance Statu
Benchmarks		
CL.3: In accordance with national laws and ILO Convention 138, children of producers not younger than 12 years may be involved in light work on their parents' farm provided that:		
	narmful to their health or development, and specifically	
• should not involve the use of or ex		
time),	loads (children should not lift loads more than 15% of their body weight at any	
engines,	equipment, dangerous tools, plows, tractors, machetes, sharp tools, saws, or power	
as silos or storage areas, and	ghts (not more than 6 feet) such as in trees or on ladders, or in confined places such	
high temperatures, and not having be		
The work does not prejudice their at holidays. Specifically:	tendance at school and is done within reasonable time limits after school or during	
 working hours should not exceed 1 		
• no work should take place before		
• there should be at least one full da		
The work is appropriate to the child's physical development.	s age and physical condition and does not jeopardize the child's social, moral, or	
	on and guidance and maintain all documents as required by the law.	
Other criteria specific to in-scope co lower than the ILO standard on light	mmodities or as defined by the national government in the country that are not work.	
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	The national regulations allow children under working age to perfusor work under the supervision of their parents. They shall not work hours, and the farm work shall not jeopardize their education. Ho farms reported that they needed children to help with farm tasks from school or not attending school. One child (11 years old) reported that they needed the children to help with farm tasks from school or not attending school. One child (11 years old) reported the children to help with farm tasks for eight hours during weekends for an income of 2500 naira.	or excessive wever, three , taking time of
	Source: Farmer Interview	
	Company Action Plan	
Activity	Child labor cases, despite all efforts, can still be spotted among son households, where found, ofi kick starts the remediation process a	
	Child Labour is monitored through the ofi OFIS App operated by owhich farmers' details on households are recorded and available. It child labor cases for a record, where it is verified by field coordinate that remediated.	also can record
	ofi also has a Human Rights officer who checks, monitors, and colla cases reported, ensuring they are remediated and followed up to c remediation.	
	Trainers have trained farmers on child labor-related topics and also regular sensitization where necessary.	carry out
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried o evidence (pictures) of verification and remediated cases	ut to farmers+
Timeline and Deadline	Oct '23 - May '24	
Timeline and Deadline Date Input (budget/resources)	NA NA	

Young Workers		
Benchmarks		Compliance Status
legal working age and 18), including proof of age documentation, and ov CL.6.2: Employers shall maintain a li- assignment.	st of all young workers, their entry dates, proof of age and description of their	Noncompliance in all farms
	levant rules and procedures where the law requires government permits or on of employment of young workers.	Risk of
	B shall undertake hazardous work, i.e., work which, by its nature or the	Noncompliance in 21 Farms
Such work includes, but is not limite equipment tools and machinery, lifti	out, is likely to harm the health, safety or morals of persons under the age of 18. d to, the application of agricultural chemicals, pesticides, and fertilizers, use of farm ng or moving of heavy materials or goods, or carrying out hazardous tasks such as ingerous heights. An adult must supervise every activity performed by a young	In 4 farms
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	The minimum age for hazardous work is eighteen years. Employed under 18 are not allowed to work for four consecutive hours or mours a day. Young persons are also not allowed to work at night circumstances specified by the law. Night time means a period of consecutive hours, including the interval between 22:00 and 06:00 under sixteen years of age, and a consecutive seven-hour period, interval between 22:00 and 07:00 for persons between sixteen are years of age. Young workers cannot be required to work overtimes holidays. However, during the farmers' interview, the assessors not some farmers were unaware of the requirements regulating the expoung workers. No records of young workers are kept. The assessors recorded some farmers did not make any distinction young workers and adult workers. Source: Farmer Interview	ore than 8 except under twelve 0 for persons including the nd eighteen during public oticed that employment of
	Company Action Plan	
Activity	ofi ensures all farmers are trained on NO CHILD LABOUR and all the CL. However, some farmers will still fall short of the training receiv spotted, a remediation process is immediately initiated to correct a further cases. Trainers have trained farmers on child labor-related topics and also	ed, hence, when nd prevent
	regular sensitization where necessary.	carry out
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and Summaries + pictures of training carried o evidence (pictures) of verification and remediated cases and follow	
Timeline and Deadline Date	Jan '23 - date	
Input (budget/resources)	NA	
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers	

Health, Safety and Environment

Section	Benchmark	Compliance status	Farms

General Compliance	HSE.1.	Risk of Noncompliance	In 19 farms
	HSE.2 (PR)	In progress	
Document Maintenance, Permits and Certificates	HSE.3.1	Not Applicable	
Permits and Certificates	HSE.4 (PR)	Not Applicable	
Evacuation Requirements	HSE.5.1 (PR)	Not Applicable	
and Procedure	HSE.5.2	Not Applicable	
Cafaty Favings and and First	HSE.6.1 (PR)	In compliance	
Safety Equipment and First Aid	HSE.6.2 (PR)	Not initiated	
Alu	HSE.16.3 (PR)	In compliance	
Personal Protective	HSE.7 (PR)	In progress	
Equipment	HSE.8	Noncompliance	In 57 farms
	HSE.9.1	Noncompliance	In 5 farms
	HSE.9.2	Noncompliance	In 7 farms
Chemical Management	HSE.9.2.1	Noncompliance	In all farms
Chemical Management	HSE.10	In Compliance	
	HSE.11.1	Noncompliance	In all farms
	HSE.11.2	Noncompliance	in all farms
Protection Reproductive	HSE.12.1	In Compliance	
Health	HSE.12.2 (PR)	In compliance	
	HSE.13 (PR)	Not Applicable	
	HSE.17.1	In Compliance	
Infrastructure	HSE.17.2 (PR)	In compliance	
Illiastructure	HSE.19 (PR)	In compliance	
	HSE.21 (PR)	In compliance	
	HSE.22 (PR)	Not Applicable	
	HSE.14.1	Not Applicable	
Machinery Safety	HSE.14.2	Not Applicable	
I viacinilei y Jaiety	HSE.14.3	Not Applicable	
	HSE.14.4	Not Applicable	
Ergonomics and Medical	HSE.15.2 (PR)	Not initiated	
Facilities	HSE.16.2	In Compliance	

Health Safety and Environment Assessment Summary

General Compliance				
Benchmarks	Benchmarks Compliance Status			
HSE.1: Employers shall comply with all national laws, regulations and procedures concerning health, safety, and the environment.				
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation Fourteen (12%) of the assessed farms had not been trained in health and safety further, five farms were not aware of the company's code of conduct.		•		
	Source: Farmer Interview			
	Company Action Plan			
Activity	Trainers have cascaded OHS training to farmers in their clusters/communities. The lead farmers and environmental officers who are also farmers are charged with retraining any farmer who missed the main training section. Yearly off ensures the training is carried out across all communities.			
Training on OHS and ofi Agri supplier code was cascaded to farmers and their workers.		rs and their		
Output indicators (targeted results)				
Timeline and Deadline Date	d Deadline Apr '23 - May '25			

Input (budget/resources)	NA
Responsible staff	Field Coordinators and Trainers
(title/department)	

Personal Protective Equipment			
Benchmarks Co			
HSE.8: Workers shall be provided with training on the use and maintenance of personal protective equipment.			
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation Only twenty-five (21%) farms had been trained on training on PPE usage in the last training sessions in 2023. However, the majority of the farms and all the workers were not trained on the use of PPE. Source: Farmer Interview		-	
	Company Action Plan		
Activity	OHS training includes the use of PPEs, especially for carrying out hazardous tasks. These have been cascaded to farmers; however, there are exceptional cases where farmers were absent. In this case, the lead farmer and environmental officers stepped in to train.		
	Training on OHS, especially on the usage of PPEs, was cascaded to farmers and their workers.		
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of trainings carried out to farmers+ availability of PPEs provided for the environmental officer(s) in the community		
Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct '23 - May '25		
Input (budget/resources)	NA		
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers		

Chemical Management				
Benchmarks	Compliance Status			
		Noncompliance		
HSE.9.1: Chemicals and hazardous so	ubstances in use must be allowed by local law or by international standards.	In 5 farms		
	s substances shall be appropriately labeled and stored in secure and ventilated areas accordance with applicable laws. Where relevant, Material Safety Data Sheets	In 7 farms		
HSE.9.2.1: Labels shall be placed in t language. Where workers are illiteral HSE.11.1: To prevent unsafe exposu shall be made for pregnant women a the FLA Workplace Code, in a manne HSE.11.2: If not provided by law, em unsafe exposure to hazardous chemi	In All farms			
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation Five farms (4%) were unaware of the banned agrochemicals. The other farmers reported being informed by Olam through training.				
	Seven farms stored chemicals in inappropriate locations: bedroom rooms, and the roof of the main house. Other farmers reported him only stores or specific rooms set aside for chemical storage.			
	Workers are not informed of the hazardous chemicals.			

	None of the interviewed farmers took precautions to prevent the exposure of pregnant and young workers.	
	Source: Farmer Interview	
	Company Action Plan	
Activity	Ofi has cascaded training to farmers on the proper use and handling of chemicals. It has also selected an environmental officer alongside the lead farmer who helps to ensure that chemicals are stored away for human safety. Ofi encourages farming communities to build chemical storehouses away from the living areas for storage of pesticides, whether used or unused. However, very few communities have been able to achieve this. Training is ongoing and consistent.	
	Approved and banned chemical posters have been designed and pasted in communities.	
	Training on OHS regarding the proper use and handling of chemicals was cascaded. Farmers are being trained and retrained on the need to have functional chemical stores in their various communities.	
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried out to farmers+ posters of approved and banned chemicals pasted in the community	
Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct '23 - May '25	
Input (budget/resources)	NA	
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers	

Hours of Work

Section	Benchmark	Compliance status	Farms
	HOW.1.1	Noncompliance	In five farms
Company Company	HOW.1.2	In Compliance	
General Compliance	HOW.1.3	In Compliance	
	HOW.1.4	Not Applicable	
Rest Day	HOW.2	Risk of Noncompliance	In six farms
Meal and Rest Breaks	HOW.3	In Compliance	
	HOW.4.1	Risk of Noncompliance	in all farms
Protected Workers	HOW.4.2 (PR)	Not initiated	
	HOW.4.3	Risk of Noncompliance	in all farms
	HOW.5.1	In Compliance	
	HOW.5.2 (PR)	Not Applicable	
Overtime	HOW.6.1	Risk of Noncompliance	In six farms
Overtime	HOW.6.2	Risk of Noncompliance	In all farms
	HOW.6.3 (PR)	Not Applicable	
	HOW.7	In Compliance	
	HOW.8.1	In Compliance	
	HOW.8.2	Not Applicable	
	HOW.8.3	Not Applicable	
	HOW.9	Not Applicable	
Public Holidays and Leave	HOW.10.1	In compliance	
	HOW.11 (PR)	Not Applicable	
	HOW.12.1 (PR)	Not Applicable	
	HOW.12.2 (PR)	In Compliance	
	HOW.13	In Compliance	15

Н	HOW.14	In compliance	
Н	HOW.15 (PR)	In compliance	
Н	HOW.16 (PR)	Noncompliance	In five farms

Hours of Work Assessment Summary

General Compliance				
Benchmarks Compliance State				
HOW.1.1: Employers shall comply with all national laws, regulations and procedures concerning hours of work, public holidays and leave.		Noncompliance in 5 farms		
Findings/Noncompliance	Five farms were uninformed of the company's code of conduct.			
Explanation	Source: Farmer Interview			
	Company Action Plan			
Activity	All farmers have been trained on workers' rights. Trainers have explained the fundamentals of ofi code of conduct which include; adherence to hours of work per day, per week and a rest day. There are exceptions where farmers were absent; in this case, a lead farmer will cascade training to them.			
	Farmers were trained on the Ofi Agri supplier code, which contains conduct, including non-discrimination.	all codes of		
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried out to farmers+ copies of flyers distributed			
Timeline and Deadline Date	Padline Apr 23 - Aug 25			
Input (budget/resources)	nput (budget/resources) NA			
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers			

Rest Day				
Benchmarks	Compliance Status			
HOW.2: Pursuant to any permanent or temporary contract, workers shall be entitled to at least 24 consecutive hours of rest every seven days. If workers must work on a rest day, an alternative consecutive 24 hours must be provided within that same seven-day period or immediately following. For short-term seasonal work during peak activities (2-3 weeks), workers have the option to work more than seven days without a day off if they voluntarily agree with it, unless local law prohibits it. When the peak activity period exceeds three weeks, and the employer has control over the workers' schedule, the rest day provision must apply.		Risk of Noncompliance in 6 farms		
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation Six farmers reported that they worked seven consecutive days during the harvesting period. Source: Farmer Interview				
Company Action Plan				
Activity Trainers have explained the fundamentals of ofi code of conduct, which include adherence to hours of work per day, per week and a consecutive day of rest every seven days. In exceptional cases (harvesting period), farmers and workers can work seven consecutive days, provided their rest day will still be observed in subsequent weeks.		ay of rest every orkers can work		
	Farmers were trained on the Ofi Agri supplier code, which contains all codes of conduct, including working hours and overtime.			

Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries, pictures of training carried out to farmers, and copies of flyers distributed.
Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct 23 - Sep 24
Input (budget/resources)	NA
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers

Protected Workers (pregnant or nursing women, young workers)								
Benchmarks		Compliance Status						
HOW.4.1: The workplace shall comply with all applicable laws governing work hours regulating or limiting the nature, frequency and volume of work performed by pregnant or nursing women or young workers. HOW.4.3: If not provided by law, employers must protect workers who allege violations of laws governing work hours limiting the nature, frequency, and volume of work performed by pregnant or nursing women or young workers.								
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation Even if the assessors did not observe any nursing/pregnant women or young workers, no differentiated work schedule for them was observed during the farm visits. Source: Farmer Interview								
	Company Action Plan							
Activity Farmers have been trained on NO discrimination, NO bias, equal pay for equal work done regardless of gender, and nursing/pregnant women who don't engage fellow farmers for work on farms in shortime tasks.								
	Farmers are trained on Human Rights policies, and details on labor are emphasized during training.	requirements						
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried or	ut to farmers						
Timeline and Deadline Date	Oct '23 - May '25							
Input (budget/resources)	NA							
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers							

Overtime								
Benchmarks	Benchmarks							
HOW.6.1: Employers shall not requi country where the workers are empl HOW.6.2: All overtime work shall b	Risk of Noncompliance In 6 farms In all farms							
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation	ncompliance 114 (94%) farmers reported receiving training on labor standards, and some of the topics covered were hours of work and fair labor treatment, among others.							

	The farmers are therefore informed of law requirements on hours of work. However, six farms were reported to have never been trained.
	Only two farms reported working 56 hours a week (seven days). Furthermore, the worker interviewed was unaware of what constitutes overtime work because there is no tracking system for working hours on all farms.
	Source: Farmer Interview
	Company Action Plan
Activity	ofi trainers have conducted training on labor standards, i.e., hours of work per worker in a day, per week with a compulsory 24hrs consecutive rest day every seven days, and it was emphasized that overtime work is voluntary. However, in cases of absenteeism during training, the lead farmer will relay the training to such farmers.
	Farmers were trained on the Ofi Agri supplier code, which contains all codes of conduct, including working hours and overtime, including regular sensitization on working hours and overtime.
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried out to farmers
Timeline and Deadline Date	Apr 23 - Aug 25
Input (budget/resources)	NA
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers

Compensation

Section	Benchmark	Compliance status	Farms
	C.1.1	Risk of Noncompliance	In nine farms
Canaral Canantianas	C.1.2	In Compliance	
General Compliance	C.1.3	In Compliance	
	C.1.4	In progress	
	C.2.1	In Compliance	
	C.2.2	In Compliance	
Minimum Mana/Fair	C.2.3	In Compliance	
Minimum Wage/Fair Compensation	C.2.4 (PR)	Not initiated	
Compensation	C.2.5 (PR)	Not initiated	
	C.2.6 (PR)	Not initiated	
	C.3	Not Applicable	
Farmer/Producer Income	C.4 (PR)	In progress	
	C.6	In Compliance	
	C.7.1	In Compliance	
	C.7.2	In Compliance	
	C.7.3 (PR)	Not Applicable	
We so Down out and	C.7.4 (PR)	Not Applicable	
Wage Payment and Calculation	C.7.5	In Compliance	
Calculation	C.8.1	Not Applicable	
	C.8.2	Not Applicable	
	C.8.3	Not Applicable	
	C.8.4 (PR)	Not Applicable	
	C.9 (PR)	Not Applicable	

	C.10.1	In Compliance
	C.10.1.1	In Compliance
	C.10.2	Not Applicable
	C.10.3	Not Applicable
	C.11.1.1	Not Applicable
	C.11.1.2	Not Applicable
Workers Awareness	C.11.1.3	Not Applicable
Workers Awareness	C.11.1.4	Not Applicable
	C.11.1.5	Not Applicable
	C.13 (PR)	In progress
Fringe Benefits	C.12.1	In Compliance
	C.12.2 (PR)	In compliance
	C.12.3	In Compliance
	C.12.4	In Compliance
	C.12.5	In Compliance

Compensation Assessment Summary

General Compliance								
Benchmarks		Compliance Status						
C.1.1: Employers shall comply with a procedures concerning the payment	Risk of Noncompliance in 9 farms							
Findings/Noncompliance Explanation The assessors recorded nine farms that were unaware of the Company conduct and had not attended labor standards training.								
	Source: Farmer Interview							
	Company Action Plan							
Activity	Activity Trainers have cascaded training on ofi code of conduct which included co with all national laws. There are exceptions where farmers are absent; in cases, the lead farmer will continue the training to members of the comm							
	Farmers were trained on the Ofi Agri supplier code, which contains conduct, including labor standards.	s all codes of						
Output indicators (targeted results)	Training attendance and summaries + pictures of training carried or copies of flyers distributed	ut to farmers+						
Timeline and Deadline Date	Apr 23 - Aug 25							
Input (budget/resources)	NA							
Responsible staff (title/department)	Field Coordinators and Trainers							

Overview - Farms vs. Non-compliances

Total number of Farms: 121

	Employment Relationship	Nondiscriminatio n	Harassment or Abuse	Forced Labor	Child Labor	Freedom of Association and Collective	Health, Safety and Environment	Hours of Work	Compensation	Total
% of farms with one or more non- compliances or risk of non- compliance	100%	100%	4%	0%	100%	0%	100%	100%	7%	
Total number of benchmarks (excl. progressive benchmarks)	48	14	19	19	9	25	16	19	27	196
Farm 1	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 2	5	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	16
Farm 3	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 4	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 5	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 6	5	2	0	0	4	0	4	4	0	19
Farm 7	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 8	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 9	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 10	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 11	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 12	5	2	0	0	4	0	4	3	0	18
Farm 13	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 14	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 15 Farm 16	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 16	6 4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	19 15
Farm 18	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 19	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 20	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 21	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 22	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 23	6	2	0	0	5	0	5	3	0	21

Farm 24	5	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	16
Farm 25	5	2	0	0	4	0	4	3	0	18
Farm 26	5	2	0	0	4	0	4	3	0	18
Farm 27	4	2	0	0	2	0	5	3	0	16
Farm 28	5	2	0	0	2	0	5	3	0	17
Farm 29	5	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	16
Farm 30	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 31	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 32	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 33	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 34	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 35	4	2	0	0	4	0	5	3	0	18
Farm 36	5	2	0	0	2	0	5	3	0	17
Farm 37	6	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	17
Farm 38	5	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	16
Farm 39	5	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	16
Farm 40	4	2	0	0	4	0	3	3	0	16
Farm 41	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 42	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 43	6	3	0	0	5	0	3	4	1	22
Farm 44	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 45	4	2	0	0	5	0	4	3	0	18
Farm 46	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	4	0	15
Farm 47	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 48	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 49	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 50	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 51	5	2	0	0	4	0	4	3	0	18
Farm 52	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 53	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 54	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 55	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 56	5	2	0	0	4	0	4	3	0	18
Farm 57	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 58	5	2	0	0	4	0	4	3	0	18
Farm 59	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 60	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 61	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 62	6	2	0	0	4	0	4	3	0	19
Farm 63	4	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	15
Farm 64	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15

Farm 65	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	4	0	16
Farm 66	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 67	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 68	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 69	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 70	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 71	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 72	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 73	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 74	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 75	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 76	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 77	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 78	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 79	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 80	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 81	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 82	6	2	0	0	4	0	4	3	0	19
Farm 83	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 84	8	3	1	0	2	0	5	4	1	24
Farm 85	5	2	0	0	4	0	3	3	0	17
Farm 86	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 87	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 88	7	3	0	0	2	0	3	4	1	20
Farm 89	5	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	16
Farm 90	5	2	0	0	2	0	4	4	0	17
Farm 91	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 92	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 93	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 94	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 95	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 96	5	2	0	0	5	0	3	3	0	18
Farm 97	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 98	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 99	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 100	6	3	1	0	2	0	4	4	1	21
Farm 101	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 102	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 103	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 104	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 105	4	2	0	0	4	0	3	3	0	16

Farm 106	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 107	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 108	5	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	15
Farm 109	6	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	16
Farm 110	8	3	0	0	5	0	3	4	1	24
Farm 111	9	3	1	0	4	0	5	5	1	28
Farm 112	9	3	1	0	4	0	5	6	1	29
Farm 113	10	3	1	0	4	0	4	5	1	28
Farm 114	7	3	0	0	2	0	3	3	1	19
Farm 115	6	2	0	0	4	0	4	3	0	19
Farm 116	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 117	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 118	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 119	4	2	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	14
Farm 120	5	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	16
Farm 121	5	2	0	0	2	0	4	3	0	16
TOTAL	573	251	5	0	293	0	432	379	9	1942
Average % of compliance per code element	9.87	14.82	0.22	0.00	26.91	0.00	22.31	16.49	0.28	8.19